

REMARKS

On June 29, 2010, Examiner Fatoumata TRAORE initiated a phone call with Aaron C. Deditch (Reg. No. 33,865).

During the Examiner-initiated call, Examiner Hassan indicated that she would allow the case if independent claim 1 was rewritten to include the features of dependent claim 2; if independent claim 10 was rewritten to include the features of dependent claim 11; and if independent claim 23 was rewritten to include the features of claim 2 and the last clause of claim 1 ("wherein at least two of the modules are each identified by a serial number, and the code number is obtained by encrypting a linking of the serial numbers of the at least two of the modules"). Claims 2 and 11 were canceled without prejudice.

The foregoing amendments were made by the Examiner's Amendment dated July 9, 2010, as detailed in the Notice of Allowability of July 9, 2010.

Since this was an Examiner initiated interview, it is respectfully submitted that since PTOL-413B was used, and since the case was allowed, the first box of Part III of that form should have provided that Applicant did not need to provide a separate record of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the Application, and since the Examiner provided a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (which was done here). The foregoing procedures are documented and explained in the M.P.E.P. At 713.04 (pages 700-182 to 700-186).

As explained above, the Examiner's characterizations in the Amendment and Interview Summary are agreed with as to the cancellation of claims 2 and 11 and the amendments of claims 1, 10, and 23 (and claim 3, since it now depends from claim 1 and not canceled claim 2) to allow the case.

Claims 1, 3 to 8, 10, 12 to 16, 18, 19, and 21 to 24 are allowed.

U.S. Pat. App. Ser. No. 10/801,363

Attorney Docket No. 10191/3602

Communication (Substance of the Interview)

As to July 9, 2010 Notice of Allowability and Interview Summary

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims are allowed in view of the Notice of Allowability. It is therefore respectfully requested that the present application issue promptly.

Dated: _____

8/9/2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: _____

Gerard A. Messina
(Reg. No. 35,952)

KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200
CUSTOMER NO. 26646

1993952